



2 June 1993

Ms Cindy Gee EG&G Rocky Flats Inc PO Box 464 Golden, Colorado 80402 0464

Subject

Revisions to the Draft Phase III RFI/RI Report

EG&G 881 Hillside Area (Operable Unit Number 1)

Contractor Meeting Minutes 28 May 1993

Roy F Weston, Inc (WESTON) Work Order No 2029 074 001 0020

Dear Ms Gee

Attached are the minutes of the contractor meeting held Friday 28 May 1993 to discuss the revised schedule and data status Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions or comments

Sincerely

ROY F WESTON INC

well Duma

Janell B Bergman, PG CPG

Project Manager

Michael A. Anderson, Ph D PE

Muhael G Guderm

Project Director

cc M D Gibson (EG&G) Project File (2029 74 01)

q \share2\bergmanj\eggou1\gee0602 mm

ADMIN RECURD

CONTRACTOR MEETING MINUTES REVISION OF THE PHASE III DRAFT RFI/RI REPORT EG&G, OPERABLE UNIT NUMBER 1, ROCKY FLATS PLANT HELD 28 MAY 1993

Meeting Attendees

Cindy Gee Dennis Smith and Fred Harrington (EG&G)
Michael A. Anderson, Ph D P E Betty Freeman, and Janell B Bergman, P G CPG
(WESTON)
Fred Duncan (Dames and Moore) and Mark Lewis (Stoller)

Items Discussed

- Schedule J Bergman distributed the revised schedule for milestone deliverables for the project based on a due date to the agencies of 15 November 1993 The schedule is attached J Bergman also distributed diskettes of numbered comments to Dames and Moore Stoller and EG&G
- Data B Freeman distributed diskette copies of the cleaned up data set Cindy mentioned that EPA/CDH will want a 15 minute briefing on how the RFEDS data was cleaned up
- Comparison to Background WESTON will compare data to background and determine the contaminant list M Anderson said that the comparisons to background could be completed by 11 June 1993. A sample table was distributed (attached) WESTON will distribute the data via diskette to Stoller and Dames and Moore at that time. A meeting was scheduled for Friday June 4 1993 at WESTON at 3 00 between WESTON and EG&G to discuss the process for comparing to background. A contractor meeting was scheduled for 3 30 on Friday, 11 June 1993 to distribute the data. EG&G WESTON Dames and Moore, and Stoller will attend. ANOVA will only be used to decrease the contaminant of concern list.
- EPA/CDH presentation. A meeting is scheduled for Wednesday 23 June 1993 between WESTON EPA, CDH DOE and CDH at 1 00 at WESTON to present the following site conceptual model comparisons to background (not contaminants of concern) nature and extent, and hydrogeology/geology In addition, a description of cleaning up the data set will be presented J Bergman is to provide EPA/CDH with a preview of the presentation (i.e. maps agenda, etc.) as appropriate
- Deliverables J Bergman is to provide Dames and Moore and Stoller with a format package for deliverables during the 11 June 1993 meeting.
- 6 Comment Responses All will assume that responses being reviewed by EPA and CDH are appropriate and text revisions will be made accordingly Contractors will not wait for input from CDH and EPA before proceeding with text changes

- WESTON received the following from EG&G

 Water balance 7

 - EPA comments on the comment responses (no CDH comments as of this date)
 - Quarterly report for the IM/IRA for information

REVISED SCHEDULE FINAL RFI/RI REPORT 881 HILLSIDE AREA, OPERABLE UNIT NUMBER 1

DELI	VERABLE	DATE (1993)
•	Meeting with EG&G at WESTON at 3 00 to discuss comparison to background process	4 June
•	WESTON distributes comparison to background tables and electronic deliverables to Stoller Corporation (SC) and Dames and Moore (DM) Meeting at WESTON at 3 3	0 11 June
•	Stoller Corporation (SC) and Dames & Moore (DM) submit draft comment responses via diskette to WESTON	11 June
•	WESTON submits completed draft comment responses to EG&G	15 June
•	Submit all comment responses to EG&G	23 July
•	SC and DM submit diskette version of Appendix E and F and comment responses to WESTON Submittal includes reproducible figure originals	16 August
•	WESTON format/QA/reproduction period	16 August to 6 Sept
•	WESTON submittal to EG&G for review	6 Sept
•	EG&G review period (2 weeks)	6 to 20 Sept
•	WESTON revision/QA/repro period	20 to 27 Sept
•	WESTON submittal to DOE for review	27 Sept
•	DOE review period (3 weeks)	27 Sept. to 18 Oct
•	WESTON revision/QA (2 weeks)	18 Oct. to 1 Nov
•	Reproduction period (2 weeks)	1 Nov to 15 Nov
•	SUBMITTAL TO EPA AND CDH	15 NOVEMBER 1993

Note All contractors will assume that responses are appropriate. Contractors will not wait for input from EPA and CDH prior to proceeding with text revisions.

exand "

Contamination Statisical Summary Colluvial Groundwater

	10 / VA											•
Analyte	Total # Observations	Total # s Detections	Percent Detections	Total # Total # Percent Minimum Observations Detections Concentration	Naximum Concentration	Minimum OL	Maximum DL	Nean Concentration	Mean Background* Concentration Concentration	Observations X Observation Above Bkgd Above Bkgd	Observation Above Bkgd	Potential Contaminat
				(8)16)		(S) (S)						
Volatiles	2	77	2	22 0	0 92	21 0	9	23 0		0	0	=
	2	5	~	0 K	7 0	2 0	10 0	8 7		•	0	=
	22	12702	ສ	2 0	12000 0	2 0	2 0	75069 7	~	15	55	· > -
	.	22	⊽	27 0	27 0	10 0	2000	242 3	2	! 	•	>
	t	*	•	14 0	14 0	10 0	5000	241 3		_	•••	-
	.	•	•	0	0	10 0	2000	8 072	2	•	•	2
Semi Volatiles	5	•	0	0	00	10 0	2000	7 772	2	•	•	=
	5	75	0	12 0	12 0	10 0	2000	241 1	0 0	_	•••	-
	2	•	0	_	00	0 4		2 3	X	•	0	=
	t	=	•	110 0	110 0	2 0	0 000	8	1022	•	•	=
	2	~	•	2 0	0 2	2 0	1000		ĸ	•	•	2
	2	33	•	20	z	10 0	10 0	24.3	2	S	2	-
	13	ñ	•	31 0	31 0	10 0	2000	9 292	2	-	•	>
Hetals	2	2	0		410	20 0	20 00	21.8		0	•	3
	=	138	0	33 0	6100 0	10 0	10 0	873 1		0	0	*
	'n	150	0		334 0	10 0	10 0	121 3	ನ	_	2	>
	T	•	0	0	00	10 0	2000	7 772	2	0	0	=
	=	0	0	0	00	10 0	2000	7 772	2	•	0	2
	2	2	0	0 7	37 0	3 0	28	6	S	0	•	*
	13	2	0	98 98	36.0	2 0	25000 0	1196 7	8	0	•	=
Radionucl Ides	.	37	0	0 7	0 0 0	10 0	2000	246 2	307	0	0	3
	5	262	0	2.0	1400 0	2 0	2 0	217 9	5	2	•	=
	22	2283	0	-	11000 0	2 0	20	1246 5	2 0	2	15	>
	22	2283	0	-	11000 0	2 0		1246 5	2	2	0	=
	.	•	0	0	00	2 0	1000	51.9	~	0	0	*
	2	1147	•	0 9	3100 0	2 0	0	618 5	2	•	•	*

Mean calculated using one half the DL for non detections. Non detections greater than two tables the CRDL wre not used in computation Report (EGLG 1992) ** Background concentration represents upper_tolerance interval_gor maximum concentration as reported in the Background Geochemical Characterization Report (EGLG 1992) *** If greater than 5% above background |

limit of backgound

•